

REMARKS

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement dated December 21, 2004, Applicant elects claims 1-8 and 36-42 (Group I) for examination. Applicant accordingly has canceled claims 9-35 (Group II).

Claims 1 and 2 are generic and claim 8 (Species 2-4) is the only species designated by the Examiner. Applicant accordingly elects claim 8 for examination. Responsive to the Subspecies Election Requirement, the Examiner did not indicate claim 4 was a subspecies. If that was correct, Applicant elects claim 5 for examination along with claim 4. If that was incorrect, Applicant elects claim 4 for examination. Claims 3, 6 and 7 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Claims 36-42 (Species 3 and 4) include the species elected with the election of claim 8 for examination. Applicant accordingly elects claims 36-42 for examination based upon the previous election of claim 8. Responsive to the Subspecies Election Requirement, the Examiner did not indicate claim 39 was a subspecies. If that was correct, Applicant elects claim 40 for examination along with claim 39. If that was incorrect, Applicant elects claim 39 for examination. Claims 38, 41 and 42 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW OFFICES OF CHRISTOPHER L. MAKAY  
1634 Milam Building  
115 East Travis Street  
San Antonio, Texas 78205  
(210) 472-3535

DATE: 6 January 2005

By:   
Christopher L. Makay  
Reg. No. 34,475

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT